LEE CENTRAL HIGH 1800 Wisacky Highway Bishopville, SC 29010 9-12 High School GRADES 824 Students ENROLLMENT Bernice Wright 803-428-4010 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Willie Townes 803-484-5327 Mrs. Queenie Boyd 803-484-6326 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: UNSATISFACTORY Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Average Excellent Good Below Average Unsatisfactory 2 3 4 6 IMPROVEMENT RATING: EXCELLENT ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: Z This school met 7 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

Lee Central High 31010

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Unsatisfactory	N/A	No
2004	Unsatisfactory	Excellent	No

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC
 Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School		High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	55.3	N/A	N/A	61.4	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	24.3	N/A	N/A	19.0	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	20.4	N/A	N/A	19.6	N/A	N/A	

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours	
Percent	78.9%	87.9%	

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	1.3	2.5
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	1.3	2.6
Seniors who met the grade point average	29.1	26.8

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	202	106		
Number of Diplomas	156	73		
Rate	77.2%	68.6%		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS									
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate				
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective		
All Students	147	78.9	151	1.3	202	77.2	YES		
Gender									
Male	59	79.7	87	0.0	97	67.0	N/A		
Female	88	78.4	64	3.1	105	86.7	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	3	I/S	1	I/S	4	I/S	N/A		
African-American	142	78.2	149	1.3	197	77.7			
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	2	I/S	1	I/S	1	I/S	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
Non disabled	141	80.1	138	1.4	173	80.9	N/A		
Disabilities other than speech	6	50.0	13	0.0	29	55.2	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	145	78.6	151	1.3	N/A	N/A	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	1	I/S	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	147	78.9	151	1.3	199	77.4	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	81	80.2	130	0.0	115	75.7	N/A		

66

Full-pay meals

77.3

21

9.5

87

79.3

N/A

Lee Central High 3101013

HSAP PERFORMANCE	-3 - LEIKI	-1=1=/				7	1.		-
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation
Engli	isn/Langua	ge Arts - S			/	/	\ % \ \rightarrow \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
All Students	199	76.4	25.7	39.9	23.6	10.8	43.9	YES	NO
Gender									
Male	94	68.1	30.2	47.6	17.5	4.8	34.9	N/A	N/A
Female	105	83.8	22.4	34.1	28.2	15.3	50.6	N/A	N/
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
African-American	192	76.0	26.8	40.8	22.5	9.9	41.5	YES	No
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/
Disability Status	400	00.0	24.5	44.0	22.4	14.0	11.1	NI/A	N. 1
Not Disabled Disabled	182	80.8	24.5	41.3	23.1	11.2	44.1	N/A	N/
	17	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/
Migrant Status Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
wigrant Non-Migrant	199	76.4	25.7	39.9	23.6	10.8	43.9	N/A N/A	N/
English Proficiency	199	70.4	20.7	39.9	23.0	10.6	43.9	IN/A	IN/
Limited English Proficient	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/
Non-Limited English Proficient	198	76.8	25.7	39.9	23.6	10.8	43.9	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status	130	70.0	20.1	33.3	20.0	10.0	40.0	IN/A	11/
Subsidized meals	140	80.0	28.2	40.0	20.9	10.9	40.0	YES	N
Full-pay meals	59	67.8	18.4	39.5	31.6	10.5	55.3	N/A	N/
		•	•	•	•		00.0		
All Students	Mathemati 199	73.9	35.7	35.0	21.7	.0% 7.7	35.7	YES	N
Gender	199	13.9	33.7	33.0	21.7	1.1	33.7	IES	IN
Male	94	67.0	37.1	40.3	21.0	1.6	33.9	N/A	N/
Female	105	80.0	34.6	30.9	22.2	12.3	37.0	N/A	N/
Racial/Ethnic Group	100	00.0	34.0	30.3	22.2	12.5	37.0	IN/A	11/
White	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/
African-American	192	73.4	37.2	35.8	21.2	5.8	33.6	YES	NO
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	ı,
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	182	78.0	36.2	34.1	21.7	8.0	35.5	N/A	N/
Disabled	17	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Non-Migrant	199	73.9	35.7	35.0	21.7	7.7	35.7	N/A	N/
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/
Non-Limited English Proficient	198	74.2	35.7	35.0	21.7	7.7	35.7	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	140	76.4	41.0	33.3	18.1	7.6	32.4	YES	N
Full-pay meals	59	67.8	21.1	39.5	31.6	7.9	44.7	N/A	N/

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Lee Central High				3101013
SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 824)				
Retention rate	9.3%	Down from 11.2%	11.2%	9.1%
Attendance rate	91.9%	Up from 88.9%	95.9%	96.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented	3.3%	No change	2.5%	5.8%
With disabilities other than speech	10.0%	Down from 10.2%	15.6%	12.7%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	15.2% 9.8%	Down from 17.6% Down from 15.8%	15.9% 1.6%	9.8% 1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	3.8% N/AV	Down from 8.8%	4.4% 13.9%	10.2% 53.8%
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	2.5% 0.0%	Down from 4.6% No change	2.6% 4.6%	2.7% 3.6%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	449	Up from 344	282	466
Students participating in worked-based experiences	39.8%	Down from 41.3%	19.2%	25.7%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	56.5%	Down from 64.4%	66.9%	77.7%
Career/technology completers placed	92.3%	N/A	96.5%	99.3%
Teachers (n= 50)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	32.0%	Up from 27.6%	47.8%	52.0%
Continuing contract teachers	76.0%	Up from 69.0%	76.0%	82.1%
Highly qualified teachers** Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	76.9% 25.0%	N/A	89.5% 13.3%	89.5% 8.6%
Teachers returning from previous year	80.0%	N/A	79.9%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate	96.1%	Down from 96.4%	94.4%	95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$37,870	Up 1.8%	\$40,002	\$41,060
Prof. development days/teacher	10.8 days	Up from 6.9 days	13.7 days	10.6 days
School	4.0			0.0
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	4.0 24.3 to 1	Up from 3.0 Up from 22.3 to 1	2.0 20.6 to 1	3.0 26.4 to 1
Prime instructional time	86.6%	Up from 84.1%	86.8%	90.0%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,691	Down 4.0%	\$7,820	\$6,310
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	54.5%	Down from 59.7%	56.7%	57.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	86.7%	Down from 92.9%	83.9%	89.3%
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Average	N/A	Good	Good
Highly qualified to a harm in law and t		Our District		ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty		N/A		.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty	y schools**	86.7% State Objective		.1%
Highly qualified teachers in this school*	*	65.0%		e Objective es
Children than done in this school		05.0%	ı	C3

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

95.3%

No

Student attendance in this school

Lee Central High

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Lee Central High School is striving to excel in all aspects of the school program. Our Motto, "Aiming for Academic Excellence through Positive Attitude and Determination. Lee Central is proud of its accomplishments and acknowledgments. We have one National Board certified teacher. Our graduating senior class received \$515,082.00 in scholarship monies with 42 seniors receiving the LIFE Scholarship. Several students scored 1000+ on the SAT and one scored 1370. One student met the requirements to be a National Merit Scholar. We have 50 stations for PLATO, and 60 stations for read 180 which reinforce state academic standards. Several college credit classes have been incorporated through Central Carolina Technical College in Sumter, SC.

Our science students participated in the 25th Annual Biology Merit Exam at Clemson University along with numerous other high schools in South Carolina. Three students received special recognition and were awarded a medallion for their meritorious effort on the exam. They received a 1st and a 2nd honorable mention. The chemistry honors class participated in South Carolina State University's Technology Day. The CO2 dragster won 1st place. We have also added an outdoor classroom beside the pond behind the school. Students also participated in the first round of the state's Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) Competition. The SAT Competition Team consisted of thirteen students from grades 11-12.

Lee Central High School continued to enjoy outstanding accomplishments in athletics. The Lady Stallions continued their winning streak by capturing their 4th consecutive conference championship in AA basketball. Four players made all conference and all area teams. One player was AA player of the year, High School sports player of the year, Sumter area player of the year and Pee Dee area first team. The Varsity Boy's Basketball team captured the lower state championship. Two players made all conference and the Item's all area team. One player was named to the AAU Florida All American team. The chorus was widely recognized this year for their outstanding performances. The Marching Stallions participated in five competitions and earned three superiors and seven excellent ratings. Students who participated in extra curricular activities within the JROTC department were given a chance to showcase their new acquired skills against other schools in this region. We had two girls to represent us at Girls State.

We at Lee Central feel extremely proud and optimistic about our recent progress and success, and we will continue to strive for excellence in every endeavor.

Earline F. McClary

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS								
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*					
Number of surveys returned	47	122	43					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	42.6%	60.3%	64.3%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	52.2%	61.5%	60.0%					
Percent satisfied with home-school relations 23.9% 75.6% 60.0% *Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.								